ENERGY-FORENSICS

Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.

Work Order-Ref #: 19134

Vapor Intrusion Assessment (VIA) Soils Outside Casing (AGM)

Strategic et al Cameron M-73

September 10 & 11, 2019



GCHEM Ltd.
BAY #1
4810-62ND AVE.
LLOYDMINSTER, AB
T9V 2E9
(780) 871-4668
www.gchem.ca
info@gchem.ca

FORENSIC SOLUTIONS FOR ENERGY CHALLENGES

Table of Contents

1.0 Executive Summary 4

2.0 Vapor Intrusions Assessment (VIA) Summary 5
2.1 Production Casing Assessment Summary 5
2.2 Surface Casing Vent Flow (SCVF) Assessment Summary 5
2.3 Soils Outside Casing (AGM) Assessment Summary 6
2.4 Interpreted Source of Migrating Gases 7

3.0 Background of Vapor Intrusion Assessments (VIA) at Resource Wells and Tracing Gas contents in the Environment using Energy Forensics 8
3.1 Biogenically Derived Methane Gas 9
3.2 Thermogenic Methane Gas 9
3.3 Classification, Characterization, and Geologic Origins of Combustible Gases in the Environment 9

4.0 Methods and Results 11
4.1 Field Assessment Methods 11
4.1.1 Non-Intrusive Vapor Intrusion Assessment 11
4.1.2 Intrusive Vapor Intrusion Assessment 13
4.2 Analytical Methods 15

5.0 Geochemical Measurements- Laboratory Results 16
5.1 Gases Obtained from Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs) 16

6.0 Conclusions 22

List of Tables

Table 1	Non-Intrusive Surface PMD	12
Table 2	Intrusive AGM-Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs)	14
Table 3	Gas components, isotopic compositions measured and the and analytical error of measurements at GCHEM'S Analytical Laboratory	15
Table 4	High resolution molecular and stable carbon isotopic compositions of gases collected during this investigation	17

List of Figures

Figure 1	Non-Intrusive Surface Methane Scan (PMD)	12
Figure 2	Intrusive Methane Readings from Soil Vapor Probes	14
Figure 3	ΣC_2+ vs Methane	18
Figure 4	ΣC_6+ vs Ethane	19
Figure 5	$CH_4/\Sigma C_{2+}$ vs $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4	20
Figure 6	$\delta^{13}C$ CO_2 vs $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4	21

List of Attachments

Attachment 1	Well Site Photographs	23
Attachment 2	Chain of Custody	27
Attachment 3	Gas Sample Container Photographs	29
Attachment 4	Gas Analysis Data Sheets (GADS)	33

1.0 Executive Summary

On September 10-11, 2019, Strategic et al Cameron Hills M-73 was investigated for natural gas leakage in soils outside of casing. Total combustible gas (%LEL) and H_2S field instruments were used to measure gas levels and types at soil test sites. Gas samples were collected, contained and preserved from soils outside casing (AGM) and for baseline comparison, background locations, ~30m away from the wellbore were also assessed.

Soils outside casing immediately adjacent to and S-SW of the well bore were water saturated however, no gas bubbling was observed in standing water. A non-intrusive surface CH_4 scan was conducted in soils outside casing and at 3-background locations (N35m, W35m, and SW30m). Five sites (E3-S5, E2-S5, E2-S4, E2-S1, and S2-W1) contained elevated (22, 102, 15, 16 and 11 ppm v/v, respectively) above background methane readings (5 ppm v/v). All other sites tested near the wellbore contained CH_4 levels ranging from 2 to 4 ppm v/v and were similar to the BKG sites. A total of 11 soil sites outside casing were assessed for gas leakage using an intrusive methodology where 5cm vapor test holes were augered into soils and Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs) were inserted into each test hole. Of the 11 soil vapor test sites outside casing, 7 sites (N2m 238 ppm v/v, N4m 267 ppm v/v, E2m 126 ppm v/v, SE5m 625 ppm v/v, S1m 795 ppm v/v, W1m 1385 ppm v/v, and W3m 424 ppm v/v) contained elevated, above background (BKG N35m 35 ppm v/v) methane contents. SOG selected 2 soil sites (SE5m and W1m) to measure chemical and $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic compositions to aid in classifying combustible gas contents. The 2-sites contained high levels of CH_4 gas and low, similar to background levels of associated ΣC_2+ gas. Methane index values suggest that elevated %LEL values measured at the 2-soil sites suggest biogenic in origins for CH_4 gas. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 and CO_2 values for gases obtained from test site W1m are consistent with methane generated via bacterial fermentation processes while the gases obtained from test site SE5m have been significantly altered by bacterial oxidation processes. However, low associated ΣC_2+ gas levels support bacterial fermentation origins for CH_4 gas. ΣC_2+ gas levels in soils outside casing at test sites SE5m and W1m are low, are similar to background and the result of natural movement of light hydrocarbon gases from reservoirs at depth, upward through subsurface fractures and micro-fractures to surface. This is a naturally occurring process in all hydrocarbon sedimentary basins in the world.

With information available to date, soil vapor test sites SE5m and W1m would be classified as 'Biogenic-Naturally Occurring CH_4-Non-Impacted'.

2.0 Vapor Intrusion Assessment Summary

Operating Company: Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.
Well Name: Strategic et al Cameron M-73
UWI: 300M736010117150

License Number: 001765
Test Date September 10-11, 2019
GCHEM Project Number 19134

2.1 Production Casing Test Summary

Combustible Gas (CH_4) ([%LEL])	nm
Hydrogen Sulphide (H_2S) Gas (ppm v/v)	nm
PC Flow Rate (m^3/day)	nm
P-T Date Logger Installed	nm
P-T Data Logger Removed	nm
P-T Data Logger Test Duration	nm
MAX Pressure (kPa)	nm

Gas Spls. Collection-Measurement	Total Collected	Analysis Requested*	Classification**
PC Samples (Total)	0		
PC Combustible Gas Class. Level-1 (Chemical)		NA	NA
PC Combustible Gas Class. Level-2 ($\delta^{13}C$)		NA	NA
PC Combustible Gas Class. Level-3 (δD)		NA	NA
PC Combustible Gas Class. Level-4 (^{14}C)		NA	NA

2.2 Surface Casing Vent Flow (SCVF) Test Summary

SCV Ten-Minute Bubble Test Result	nm
SCV Flow Rate (m^3/day)	nm
SCVF Pressure-Temp Logger Installed	nm
SCV Pressure-Temp Data Logger Removed	nm
SCV Shut-In Time (hrs)	nm
SCV MAX-Recorded Build Up Pressure (kPa)	nm
SCV Stabilized Build-up Pressure (kPa):	nm
SCV Stabilized Build-up Time (hours)	nm
SCV Standpipe Max CH_4 Content (% LEL):	nm
SCV Standpipe Max H_2S Content	nm

SCV Gas Spls. Collection-Measurement	Total Collected	Analysis Requested*	Classification**
SCV Samples (Total)	0		
SCV Combustible Gas Class. Level-1 (Chemical)		NA	NA
SCV Combustible Gas Class. Level-2 ($\delta^{13}C$)		NA	NA
SCV Combustible Gas Class. Level-3 (δD)		NA	NA
SCV Combustible Gas Class. Level-4 (^{14}C)		NA	NA

2.3 Soil Gas Migration Test – Soils Outside Casing (AGM) Summary

A) Non-Intrusive CH_4 Surface Soil Scan (PMD) (Figure 1 and Table 1)

Well Casing Surface CH_4 Test Sites	105
MAX Surface CH_4 Reading	102
MAX H_2S Well Soil Reading (ppm v/v)	<1
Number of Background Sites	3
MAX Background CH_4 (ppm v/v)	5
Max H_2S BKG Soil Reading (ppm v/v)	<1
Surface CH_4-PMD Gas Classification	

B) Non-Intrusive Surface Enclosed Soil Vapor FLUX Chamber Test

Surface SV-FC CH_4 Test Sites	1		
MAX SV-FC CH_4 Reading	102		
SV-FC Gas Spls. Collection-Measurement	**Total Collected**	**Analysis Requested***	**Test Site**
SV-FC Samples (Total)	2	Soil Vapor Flux not requested by client	
SV-FC & Sites Requested for Level-1 Analysis		NA	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-1 (Chem.)		NA	
SV-FC & Sites Requested for Level-2 Analysis		NA	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-2 ($\delta^{13}C$)		NA	
SV-FC & Sites Requested for Level-3 Analysis		NA	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-3 (δD)		NA	
SV-FC & Sites Requested for Level-4 Analysis		NA	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-4 (^{14}C)		NA	

C) Intrusive Auger Test Holes with Soil Vapor Probes (Figure 2 and Table 2).

Number Soil Vapor Probe (SVP) Test Sites	11		
MAX SVP CH_4 Reading (%LEL)	2.8		
Max H_2S SVP Field Reading (ppm v/v)	<1		
Number SVP BKG Test Sites	3		
MAX SVP CH_4 BKG Test Sites (ppm v/v)	35		
SVPs Gas Spl. Collection & Measurement	**Total Collected**	**Analysis Requested***	**Test Site**
Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs) AGM (Total)	5		
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-1 Analysis		2	SE5m, W1m
Combustible Gas Classification Level-1 (Chem.)		Biogenic, Non-Impacted	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-2 Analysis		2	SE5m, W1m
Combustible Gas Classification Level-2 ($\delta^{13}C$)		Biogenic, Non-Impacted	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-3 Analysis		0	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-3 (δD)		NA	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-4 Analysis		0	NA
Combustible Gas Classification Level-4 (^{14}C)		NA	

BKG Gas Spl. Collection-Measurement	Total Collected	Analysis Requested*	Test Site
BKG Soil Vapor Probe (SVPs) (Total)	3		
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-1 Analysis		1	BKG N35m
Combustible Gas Classification Level-1 (Chem.)		Biogenic-Natural Occurring-Baseline	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-2 Analysis		0	
Combustible Gas Classification Level-2 ($\delta^{13}C$)		NA	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-3 Analysis		0	
Combustible Gas Classification Level-3 (δD)		NA	
SVPs & Sites Requested for Level-4 Analysis		0	
Combustible Gas Classification Level-4 (^{14}C)		NA	

**** Sample selection for chemical and isotopic analysis (geochemical analytical suite) selected by client/operator.***

2.4 Interpreted Source of Migrating Gases (measured depth from KB of the well)

Sample Point	Geologic Formation	Depth Range	Source Depth
SVP SE5m & W1m	Near Surface Soil Respiration	Biogenic CH_4, Non-Impacted, Baseline	

3.0 Background of Vapor Intrusion Assessments (VIA) at Resource Wells & Tracing Gas Contents in the Environment using Energy Forensics

Undesired natural gas leakage from depth to surface at resource wells is becoming increasingly recognized and is a significant financial burden to the resource industry. When high levels of natural gas are found in the surface casing vent it is termed surface casing vent flow (SCVF) and when found in soils outside casing it is termed active gas migration (AGM). Identifying the source of leaking gas, maintaining zonal isolation and eliminating gas leakage to surface has proven to be a challenging task. Industry success rates using conventional gas leakage identification tools (e.g. noise, temperature, cement bond-integrity, ultra-sonic imaging logs, etc.) to eliminate surface gas migration in the first attempt is approximately 15% to 20%. Since 1997, through collaboration with industry, government regulators and academic institutions, GCHEM Ltd. has developed 'Energy-Forensics' and has obtained extensive expertise in field testing, gas sampling and preservation, analytical and interpretational techniques to pinpoint the geologic source of natural gases at resource wells.

It is important to note that detection of elevated combustible gases at surface does not always mean the well is impacted with deep sourced natural gas (thermogenic). Accurate gas characterization at well sites is critical as elevated CH_4 (%LEL) contents measured at or near surface may not indicate it is leaking or impacted (false-positive) but rather the combustible gases present are the result of biogenic activity or hydrocarbon contamination (or a combination of).

Thermogenic hydrocarbon gases have unique chemical and isotopic signatures based on many variables including the starting organic material they are produced from, the chemical processes from organic origin to current form, interaction with surrounding formation rock and fluids, and effects from migrating from origin to current trap. For example, molecular and isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{2}H$) of a low temperature, shallow sourced natural gas is significantly different with respect to those of a high temperature deep sourced natural gas. This principle allows the geologic source of leaking natural gas at a wellbore to be determined.

3.1 Biogenically Derived Methane Gas

As a normal part of soil respiration, methane may be generated via two biotic pathways (depending on substrate availability):

CO_2 reduction reaction	$CO_2 + 4H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O$
Fermentation Process	$CH_3COOH \rightarrow CH_4 + CO_2$

Biogenic methane gas may be further oxidized by bacteria. Oxidizing bacteria in soils preferentially consume ^{12}C over ^{13}C resulting the residual gas enriched in ^{13}C (i.e. $\delta^{13}C$ values become less negative) with respect to the biogenic gas (isotope enriching effect). Therefore, biogenic oxidization may provide the false signature of a 'mixture of biogenic and thermogenic methane' or 'thermogenic' gas (GCHEM in prep). Biogenic CH_4 is generally prevalent in landfill or swamp gas.

3.2 Thermogenic Methane Gas

Methane gas can be generated by abiotic processes such as the thermo-degradation of organic matter at high pressure and temperature (thermogenesis). During thermogenic CH_4 generation, pending organic matter content, pressure and temperature, associated C_2+ gases may also be formed. Thermogenic CH_4 and C_2+ gases contain enriched $\delta^{13}C$ and δD values pending gas maturity, mixing and alteration and torturous pathway from source to trap.

3.3 Classification, Characterization and Geological Origins of Combustible Gases in the Environment.

Combustible gases in soils outside casing maybe classified and characterized (biogenic, thermogenic or mixed) using chemical, carbon and hydrogen and isotopic measurements and ^{14}C concentrations. Leaking thermogenic natural gas in soils outside casing is easier to scientifically prove than biogenic methane sources. Elevated %LEL measured in AGM (on location) maybe the result of naturally occurring biogenic processes, anthropogenic leaking thermogenic natural gases and mixtures of both. A systematic 4-level approach can be used to determine the origins (biogenic-thermogenic or mixed) combustible gas contents and include:

1) **Level-1 Characterization** — **High Resolution Compositional-Chemical Measurements.**
Permanent, inert and CH_4 to n-C_5H_{12} & C_6+.
See NGGC-1 CH_4 vs ΣC_2+ (Szatkowski et al 2000 & 2001).
See NGGC-2 C_2H_6 vs. c_6+ (Szatkowski et al 2000 & 2001).

2) **Level-2 Characterization** — **Stable Carbon Isotope Measurements ($\delta^{13}C$).**
$\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 to n-C_5H_{12} & CO_2 (pending concentrations-gas levels).
See NGGC-3 $CH_4/\Sigma C_2$+ vs. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 (Bernard 1978).
See NGGC-4 $\delta^{13}C$ CO_2 vs. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 (Whiticar 1993).

3) **Level-3 Characterization** — **Hydrogen in Methane (δD).**
δD CH_4 to dD C_4H_{12} (pending concentrations-gas levels).
See NGGC-5 $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 vs δD CH_4 (Coleman 1993).

4) **Level-4 Characterization** — **^{14}C pMC concentrations (radioactive ½ life of 5750 yr).**
Pending concentrations-gas levels.
^{14}C reveals the age of the organic matter source from which CH_4 was generated but not the time of methanogenesis.

To determine the geological origins of leaking thermogenic natural gas contents, a series of plots developed by GCHEM Ltd are used and include.

1) **Chemical & Isotopic Gas Field Diagram** — $C_2H_6/\Sigma C_3$+ vs $\delta^{13}C$ C_2H_6 (Szatkowski et al 2000, 2001).
2) **Isotopic Gas Field Diagram** — $\delta^{13}C$ C_2H_6 vs. $\delta^{13}C$ C_3H_8 (Szatkowski et al 2000, 2001).
3) **Modified Chung Plot** — $\delta^{13}C$ vs 1/n (carbon & hydrogen number) (Chung 1988, and GCHEM Ltd. Unpublished).

Additional chemical and stable carbon and hydrogen isotopic plots have been developed to aid in determining the geological origins of natural gas found in the environment however, GCHEM has not published these novel and new correlations and relationships and they will not be shown or discussed in detail at this time (GCHEM Unpublished Internal Research).

4.0 Methods and Results

4.1 Field Assessment Methods and Results

4.1.1 Non-Intrusive Vapor Intrusion Assessment

On September 10, 2019, GCHEM conducted a surface soil methane scan using a Sensit PMD (Figure 1). Surface soil CH_4 levels were measured at 105 locations on a grid pattern (1m x 1m) covering approximately an 10m x 10m square area around the marked wellbore.

To establish background surface CH_4 gas levels a distance away from the well bore, three locations (35m north, 35m west, and 30m southwest of the wellbore) were also assessed. To enhance results of the surface methane scan and reduce potential effects from industrial contamination, at each test site, an atmospheric CH_4 gas level was recorded, the PMD gas sampling wand was coupled to surface soils and the CH_4 level was recorded for that specific test site. Atmospheric CH_4 level was subtracted from the CH_4 level measured after ground coupling to derive a surface soil CH_4 level at that point of the grid.

Five surface PMD sites (E3-S5, E2-S5, E2-S4, E2-S1, and S2-W1) contained elevated (22, 102, 15, 16 and 11 ppm v/v, respectively) above background (5 ppm v/v) CH_4 levels. All other surface sites assessed near the wellbore contained CH_4 levels that ranged from 2 to 4 ppm v/v that were similar to the BKG sites.

Figure 1. AGM Non-Intrusive Surface PMD



Figure-1A. NON-Intrusive CH_4 Surface Scan Well Casing Detail VIEW



Soils immediately near the well casing and S & SW were water saturated restricting surface PMD measurements.

Table 1. AGM Non-Intrusive Surface PMD

WELL CASING (AGM) Non-Intrusive Surface PMD (CH_4) Soil Scan

Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(% Vol)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(% Vol)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(% Vol)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(% Vol)
N.5			E.5	2		S.5			W.5		
N1	2		E1	2		S1	4		W1	3	
N2	2		E2	2		S2	4		W2	2	
N3	2		E3	2		S3			W3	2	
N4	2		E4	2		S4			W4	2	
N5	2		E5	2		S5			W5	2	
N5-E1	2		E5-S1	2		S5-W1			W5-N1	2	
N4-E1	2		E5-S2	2		S4-W1			W5-N2	2	
N3-E1	2		E5-S3	3		S3-W1	2		W5-N3	2	
N2-E1	2		E5-S4	3		S2-W1	11		W5-N4	2	
N1-E1	2		E5-S5			S1-W1			W5-N5	2	
N1-E2	2		E4-S5	2		S1-W2			W4-N5	2	
N2-E2	2		E4-S4	2		S2-W2			W4-N4	2	
N3-E2	2		E4-S3	2		S3-W2			W4-N3	2	
N4-E2	2		E4-S2	2		S4-W2			W4-N2	2	
N5-E2	2		E4-S1	2		S5-W2			W4-N1	2	
N5-E3	2		E3-S1	3		S5-W3			W3-N1	2	
N4-E3	2		E3-S2	3		S4-W3			W3-N2	2	
N3-E3	2		E3-S3	3		S3-W3	2		W3-N3	2	
N2-E3	2		E3-S4	3		S2-W3	2		W3-N4	2	
N1-E3	2		E3-S5	22		S1-W3	2		W3-N5	2	
N1-E4	2		E2-S5	102		S1-W4	2		W2-N5	2	
N2-E4	2		E2-S4	15		S2-W4	2		W2-N4	2	
N3-E4	2		E2-S3			S3-W4	2		W2-N3	2	
N4-E4	2		E2-S2			S4-W4	2		W2-N2	2	
N5-E4	2		E2-S1	16		S5-W4	2		W2-N1	2	
N5-E5	2		E1-S1	4		S5-W5	2		W1-N1	2	
N4-E5	2		E1-S2	5		S4-W5	2		W1-N2	2	
N3-E5	2		E1-S3			S3-W5	4		W1-N3	2	
N2-E5	2		E1-S4			S2-W5	2		W1-N4	2	
N1-E5	2		E1-S5			S1-W5	2		W1-N5	2	

BACKGROUND Non-Intrusive Surface PMD (CH_4) Soil Scan

Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(%)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(%)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(%)	Test Site (m)	PMD CH_4 (ppm v/v)	(%)
N35	2					SW30	3		W35	5	

4.1.2 Intrusive Vapor Intrusion Assessment

A total of 11 soil sites outside casing were assessed for gas leakage using an intrusive methodology where soil vapor test holes were augered into soils and Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs) were inserted into each test hole. The SVPs were allowed to stabilize for approximately 30-minutes prior to combustible gas content measurement with the PMD (Figure 2). Of the 11 soil vapor test sites outside casing, 7 sites (N2 238 ppm v/v, N4 267 ppm v/v, E2 126 ppm v/v, SE5 625 ppm v/v, S1 795 ppm v/v, W1 1385 ppm v/v, and W3m 424 ppm v/v) contained elevated, above background (N35 35 ppm v/v) methane contents.

Figure 2. AGM Intrusive SVPs



Soils immediately near the well casing and S & SW were water saturated restricting installation of a full pattern of intrusive SVPs

Seeded grass surrounding the well casing is of similar stand-growth to surrounding lease vegetation. No stressed dead spots or discoloration was observed.

Table 2. AGM Intrusive SVPs

Intrusive AGM - Hand Auger-Test Hole-Install Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs) ATM-Isolated

Test Site (m)	Soil Vapor Probes IR-CH_4 (ppm v/v)	IR-CH_4 (% LEL)	H_2S (ppm v/v)	Soil Parameters Type	Moist. (1-5)	HC-CONT (Y-N)	Gas Sample (Y-N)	Site Assessment Comments
N.5								Surface Water
N2	238		<1.0		5	no		
N4	267		<1.0		5	no	Yes	
NE1.5	2		<1.0		5	no		
E.5								Surface Water
E2	126		<1.0		5	no		
E3	34		<1.0		5	no		
SE1.5	11		<1.0		5	no		
SE5	625	[1.3]	<1.0		5	no	Yes	Final SVP Watered Out
S1	795	[1.6]	<1.0		5	no	Yes	
S3								Surface Water
S5								Surface Water
W.5								Surface Water
W1	1385	[2.8]	<1.0		5	no	Yes	
W3	424		<1.0		5	no	Yes	Final SVP Watered Out
NW1.5	16		<1.0		5	no	No	

Test Site (m)	Soil Vapor Probes IR-CH_4 (ppm v/v)	IR-CH_4 (% Vol)	H_2S (ppm v/v)	Soil Parameters Type	Moist. (1-5)	HC-CONT (Y-N)	Gas Sample (Y-N)	Site Assessment Comments
BKG N35	35		<1.0		5	No	Yes	
BKG W35	2		<1.0		5	No	Yes	
BKG SW30	2		<1.0		5	No	Yes	

4.2 Analytical Methods

a. High Resolution Compositional Analysis (HRCA).

   i. He, H_2, O_2, N_2, CO_2, CH_4 to n-C_5H_{12} & C_6+

b. Stable Carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and Hydrogen (δD) Isotopic Analysis.

   i. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 to n-C_5H_{12} and CO_2, and δD CH_4 to n-C_5H_{12}

Compositional (molecular) analyses were conducted at GCHEM's Analytical Laboratory using Hewlett Packard 5890 and Agilent 7890 Gas Chromatographs (GCs) configured for low (ppb v/v to ppm v/v) too high (vol. %) level detection of light alkane/alkene gases and atmospheric gas components. Chemical analysis of gases measured, and analytical error are shown in Table-1.

Stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) isotope ratios of light hydrocarbon gases (LHG) and carbon dioxide and hydrogen isotope ratios (δD) of LHG were also measured at GCHEM's Analytical Laboratory on a Thermo-Scientific MAT-253 Gas Chromatograph-Combustion-Continuous Flow-Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (GC-C-CF-IRMS). Carbon isotope ratios are reported in delta (δ) notation and per mil (‰, parts per thousand) with respect to VPDB (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite). Hydrogen isotope ratios are reported in delta (δ) notation and per mil (‰) with respect to VSMOW (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water).

Gas Component (ppmv)	Molecular Formula	Analytical Error (%)	Stable Carbon Isotopic Composition ($\delta^{13}C$)	Analytical Error (‰ VPDB)	Hydrogen Isotopic Composition (δD)	Analytical Error (‰ VSMOW)
Hydrogen	H_2	±7%	-	-	δD H_2	±10
Helium	He	±7%	-	-	-	-
Nitrogen	N_2	±7%	-	-	-	-
Oxygen	O_2	±7%	-	-	-	-
Carbon Dioxide	CO_2	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ CO_2	±0.2	-	-
Hydrogen Sulphide	H_2S	±7%	-	-	-	-
Methyl Mercaptan	CH_4S	±7%	-	-	-	-
Ethyl Mercaptan	C_2H_6S	±7%	-	-	-	-
Thiophene	C_4H_4S	±7%	-	-	-	-
Dimethyl Disulfide	$C_2H_6S_2$	±7%	-	-	-	-
Methane	CH_4	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ CH_4	±0.1	δD CH_4	±10
Ethane	C_2H_6	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ C_2H_6	±0.2	δD C_2H_6	±10
Ethene	C_2H_4	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ C_2H_4	±0.2	δD C_2H_4	±10
Propane	C_3H_8	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ C_3H_8	±0.2	δD C_3H_8	±10
Propene	C_3H_6	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ C_3H_6	±0.2	δD C_3H_6	±10
iso-Butane	i-C_4H_{10}	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ i-C_4H_{10}	±0.2	δD i-C_4H_{10}	±10
normal-Butane	n-C_4H_{10}	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ n-C_4H_{10}	±0.2	δD n-C_4H_{10}	±10
iso-Pentane	i-C_5H_{12}	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ i-C_5H_{12}	±0.2	δD i-C_5H_{12}	±10
normal-Pentane	n-C_5H_{12}	±7%	$\delta^{13}C$ n-C_5H_{12}	±0.2	δD n-C_5H_{12}	±10
Hexane and higher	C_6+	±7%	-	-	-	-

Table 3. Gas components, isotopic compositions measured and the analytical error of the measurements at GCHEM's Analytical Laboratory.

5.0 Geochemical Measurements-Laboratory Results.

As part of this VIA (SCV-AGM), a total of 5 gas samples were collected, contained and preserved from the following locations or sample points: SVPs-soils outside casing (N4m, SE5m, S1m, W1m, and W3m) and 3-BKG locations (N35m, W35m and SW30m).

At the request of the Strategic Oil and Gas, chemical and $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic compositions were measured for gases obtained from two SVP test sites (SE5m and W1m) that contained elevated, above background, levels of combustible gases and chemical compostions for one BKG SVP (N35m). High Resolution chemical and $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic compositions were measured at GCHEM's Forensic Lab and are provided in Table 4.

5.1 Gases Obtained from Soil Vapor Probes (SVPs).

Gases measured in two SVPs test sites in soils near the well bore (SE5m and W1m) contain above atmospheric levels of CO_2 (3300 and 1276 ppm v/v, respectively). Methane gas was elevated (434.4 and 113.4 ppm v/v, respectively) when compared to background level measured at BKG N35 (32.46 ppm v/v) (Table 4 and Figure 3). C_2+ gas levels in SVPs SE5m and W1m were low (<0.01) and similar to background levels (<0.01 ppm v/v). High methane with low, associated C_2+ thermogenic gases suggests a biogenic or biotic source via CO_2 reduction or fermentation reactions for methane gas (Figures 5 and 6). C_{6+} gas contents at SVPs sites SE5m and W1m were low (0.18 and 0.06 ppm v/v respectively) and suggest hydrocarbon contamination was not present at SVP test sites (Figure 4).

Sufficient levels of C_2+ gases at SVP sites SE5m and W1m were too low to measure $\delta^{13}C$ isotopic compositions. Sufficient levels of CO_2 and CH_4 were available for $\delta^{13}C$ at both sites. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 and $\delta^{13}C$ CO_2 at SVP SE5m was -61.85 and -17.23 ‰ VPDB, respectively. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 and $\delta^{13}C$ CO_2 at SVP W1m was -32.67 and -14.59 ‰ VPDB respectively (Table 4). $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 and CO_2 values for gases at W1m are consistent with methane generated via bacterial fermentation processes while the gases at SE5m have been significantly altered by bacterial oxidation processes however, low, similar to background levels of ΣC2+ supports at biotic source for CH4 gas via bacterial fermentation pathways (Figures 5 and 6).

Table 4. High resolution molecular and stable carbon isotopic compositions of gas samples collected as part of the VIA at Strategic et al Cameron M-73. Hydrogen isotopic compositions were not measured at the request of SOG.

Sample Point / Date Collected / Gas Component	W1 Sept. 10-19 (ppm v/v)	SE5 Sept. 10-19 (ppm v/v)	BKG N35 Sept. 11-19 (ppm v/v)
Hydrogen	3.96	4.08	5.53
Helium	2.82	2.77	2.85
Nitrogen	777147	777640	775047
Oxygen	221457	218618	220518
Carbon Dioxide	1276	3300	4394
Methane	113.4	434.4	32.46
Ethane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Ethene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Propane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Propene	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
iso-Butane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
n-Butane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
iso-Pentane	0.13	0.20	<0.01
n-Pentane	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
C_6+	0.06	0.18	0.09
C1 Index (C1/ΣC2+)	11340	434400	3246
C2 Index (C2/ΣC3+)	N/A	N/A	N/A
C3 Index (C3/ΣC4+)	N/A	N/A	N/A
C4 Index (C4/C5)	N/A	N/A	N/A
ΣC2+	N/A	N/A	N/A
ATM Ratio (N2/O2)	3.51	3.56	3.51
Vol % CO2 of TG	0.13	0.33	0.44
Vol % Lt. Alk. of TG	0.01	0.04	0.00
Vol % Lt. Alk. CH4	99.88	100.0	100.0
Vol % Lt. Alk. C2+	0.12	0.05	0.00
Vol % C2+ of TG	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stable Carbon Isotope Compositions (‰ VPDB)			
δ13C CH4	-32.67	-61.85	nm
δ13C C2H6	nm	nm	nm
δ13C C2H4	nm	nm	nm
δ13C C3H8	nm	nm	nm
δ13C C3H6	nm	nm	nm
δ13C i-C4H10	nm	nm	nm
δ13C n-C4H10	nm	nm	nm
δ13C i-C5H12	nm	nm	nm
δ13C n-C5H12	nm	nm	nm
δ13C CO2	-14.59	-17.23	nm
Stable Hydrogen Isotopic Compositions (‰ VSMOW)			
δD H2	nm	nm	nm
δD CH4	nm	nm	nm
δD C2H6	nm	nm	nm
δD C3H8	nm	nm	nm
δD i-C4H10	nm	nm	nm
δD n-C4H10	nm	nm	nm
14C Concentration (pMC)	nm	nm	nm



Figure-3. ΣC_2+ vs Methane. Combustible gases detected in soils and SCVs at a wellhead may result from several origins. Natural gases indicative of SCVF or AGM are thermogenic in origin (natural gas in deep reservoirs), contain high methane and C_2+ contents and plot in the Upper RH Quadrant. Low natural gas levels in background, off lease areas are naturally present in soils, vary from region to region and plot in the Lower LH Quadrant. Biogenic gases (swamp-gas) are produced by bacteria, are comprised of predominantly methane and plot in Lower RH Quadrant. Samples plotting in the Lower LH and RH do not contain SCVF or AGM and would not require down-hole remediation.



Figure 4. ΣC_6+ vs Ethane. C_6+ gases are relatively large molecules that do not readily or easily migrate in large quantities from depth upwards through subsurface fractures or micro-fractures to surface. Contamination by oil spills, fuels, and solvents is indicated by soil vapor samples that have high contents of C_6+ compounds and plot in the Lower RH Quadrant**.** Samples plotting in the Lower LH and RH Quadrants do not contain evidence of either SCVF or AGM and would not require downhole repair operations.



Figure 5. CH_4 / ΣC_{2+} vs. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4. Thermogenic methane or methane generated by abiotic processes such as the thermal degradation of organic matter at high temperature and pressure (thermogenesis) contains enriched (less negative) $\delta^{13}C$ values ranging from -50 to -20‰ VPDB and methane relative to C_{2+} gas contents (gas wetness) less than 100. Methane gas can be generated by biotic processes such as the degradation of organic matter via CO_2 reduction or fermentation reactions generating biogenic methane. It should be noted that as a normal part of soil respiration, methane may be generated or destroyed by variable biotic pathways. Biogenic methane gas may be oxidized by bacteria resulting in an 'isotopic enriching effect' (i.e. $\delta^{13}C$ values become less negative as a result of oxidizing bacteria in soils that preferentially consume ^{12}C over ^{13}C, leaving the remaining gas enriched in ^{13}C). Since biogenic oxidization decreases the ratio between ^{12}C and ^{13}C, it may result in enriched $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 values that overlap with the MIXING or THERMOGENIC-GAS TREND. Biogenic methane may therefore contain $\delta^{13}C$ values greater than -50‰ VPDB (GCHEM Internal RD).



Figure 6 $\delta^{13}C\ CO_2$ vs. $\delta^{13}C\ CH_4$. Thermogenic methane or methane generated by abiotic processes such as the degradation of organic matter at high temperature and pressure contains enriched (less negative) $\delta^{13}C$ values ranging from -55 to -20‰ VPDB (or higher) and $\delta^{13}C\ CO_2$ values in the range of -25 to 4‰ VPDB. Methane gas may be generated by biotic processes such as the degradation of organic matter via CO_2 reduction or fermentation reactions generating biogenic methane. Biogenic methane may contain $\delta^{13}C$ values greater than -40‰ VPDB due to biogenic oxidation processes (GCHEM, in prep).

6.0 Conclusions

Soils outside casing are wet increasing the difficulty leaking natural gas assessments. 7 of the 11 intrusive soil sites outside casing tested for combustible gas contents contained elevated methane levels that ranged from 126 to 1385 ppm v/v. H_2S was not detected (< 1.0 ppm v/v) at any of the soil test hole sites. SOG selected SVP test sites SE5m (625 ppm v/v) and W1m (1385 ppm v/v) for high resolution chemical and stable carbon isotope measurements to classify combustible gas contents. Light hydrocarbon gases were dominated by methane gas while associated C_2+ gases were low and similar to background levels measured at test site BKG N35m. Methane index ratio (gas wetness) suggest that elevated %LEL values and associated CH_4 gas measured at the 2-soil sites are biogenic in origin. $\delta^{13}C$ CH_4 and $\delta^{13}C$ CO_2 isotopic compositions for gases obtained from SVP test sites W1m are consistent with methane generated via bacterial fermentation processes while the gases measured at E5m are enriched but have undergone primary bacterial oxidation and secondary alteration processes.

With information available to date, SVP soil test sites SE5m and W1m would be classified as 'biogenic-baseline' where CH_4 gas is the result of natural soil respirations processes via CO_2 reduction or fermentation processes generating biogenic CH_4. C_2+ gases in soils near the well are low, similar to background levels and the result of natural movement of thermogenic natural gas, from reservoirs at depth, upward through fractures and micro-fractures to surface. This is a naturally occurring process prevalent in every hydrocarbon sedimentary basin in the world.

Attachment-1

Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.

Strategic Cameron M-73

Well Site Photographs

Strategic Oil and Gas Ltd.
Cameron Hills M-73
September 10-11, 2019


Strategic Oil and Gas Ltd.
Cameron Hills M-73
September 10-11, 2019


Strategic Oil and Gas Ltd.
Cameron Hills M-73
September 10-11, 2019

Attachment-2

Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.

Strategic Cameron M-73

Chain of Custody (COC)

GCHEM LTD.

Bay#1, 4810-62nd Ave, Lloydminster, Alberta T9V 2E9
E:info@gchem.ca
Tel:(780) 871-4668
Fax:(780) 808-8883

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ENERGY FORENSICS

Sample Submission Form

GCHEM Ltd. Project# TBD

Client Information

Company Strategic
Address
City,Prov.
Postal Code
Client Contact
Phone #
Fax #
E-Mail

Sampled By Walter, Brian

Billing/Report Information

Company
Address
City,Prov.
Postal Code
Client Contact
Phone #
Fax #
E-mail

AFE/PO #

Services Needed (TAT)

*Standard 5-7 Days ✓
**Rush 48hrs.
**Priority Rush 24hrs.

(*) Working Days
(**) Call for Pricing and Advance Notice

No.	Location	Sample Identifier	Sample Time	Date Sampled	Pressure Received	Actual Pressure	Container Type	Qty.	Sample Volume	Media Type	High Resolution Compositional Analysis	IRMS δ^2H (δD) Analysis	IRMS $\delta^{13}C$ Analysis	Produced Water Forensic Suite	High Resolution Compositional H_2S Analysis
1	M 73	SoilGas - Initial		Sep 10/19			glass	6							
2		SoilGas - Chamber E3 E5		Sep 10/19			glass	1							
3		Background - North		Sep 11/19			glass	1							
4		Flux Chamber - SE		Sep 11/19			glass	2							
5		SoilGas - final		Sep 11/19			glass	5							
6															
7															
8															
9															
10															

(Analysis To Be Performed: last five columns)

Comments

Relinquished By: Walter Brian (signature) Date/Time: Sep 16/19 Relinquished To: Date/Time:
Relinquished By: Date/Time: Relinquished To: Date/Time:

Attachment-3

Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.

Strategic Cameron M-73

Gas Sample Containers

Photographs

(red boxed pictures are samples measured for chemical and/or isotopic compositions)

N4m
September 10, 2019

S1m
September 10, 2019

W1m
September 10, 2019

W3m
September 10, 2019

SE5m
September 10, 2019

E2-S5 Chamber
September 10, 2019

N4m
September 11, 2019

W1m
September 11, 2019



SE5m
September 11, 2019

SE5m Flux
September 11, 2019

BKG N35m
September 11, 2019

Attachment-4

Strategic Oil & Gas Ltd.

Strategic Cameron M-73

Gas Analysis Data Sheets (GADS)

GCHEM LTD.

HIGH RESOLUTION GAS ANALYSIS
CARBON ISOTOPE ANALYSIS
HYDROGEN ISOTOPE ANALYSIS

Sampling Company	GCHEM Ltd.	**Lab Sample No.**	19134-06
Date Tested	September 10, 2019	**Test Type**	Soil gas
Operator Name	Strategic Oil & Gas	**Sample Container Type**	Glass Botlte
Unique Well Identifier	M-73	**Sampling Point**	W1
Well Name	not provided	**Test Intervals or Perfs mKB**	N/A
Field or Area	not provided	**Date Received**	September 16, 2019
Pool or Zone	not provided	**Date Reported**	October 3, 2019
Well License	not provided	**Entered By**	Xiaolong Wang
H2S Level (Observed at Site)	not provided	**Reviewed By**	Brad Johnston

Sample Handling Conditions

	Source/Sampled	Received
Pressure (kPa)	N/A	60
Temperature (°C)	N/A	20

Other Information:

Laboratory Analysis

Component	HRGC Analysis As Received Mol Frac.	Air Free As received Mol Frac.	Air Free / Acid Free As Received Mol Frac.	Carbon Isotope Analysis ‰ VPDB	Hydrogen Isotope Analysis ‰ VSMOW	HRGC Analysis As Received ppm v/v
Neon	0.000014	0.009959	0.009959			13.90
Hydrogen	0.000004	0.002837	0.002837			3.96
Helium	0.000003	0.002021	0.002021			2.82
Nitrogen	0.777147	0.000000	0.000000			777147
Oxygen	0.221457	0.000010	0.000010			221457
Carbon Dioxide	0.001276	0.913784	0.913784	-14.59		1276
Carbonyl Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Hydrogen Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Ethyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Thiophene	nm	nm	nm			nm
Dimethyl Disulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methane	0.000113	0.081208	0.081208	-32.67		113.4
Ethane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Ethene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
n-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Pentane	0.000000	0.000096	0.000096			0.13
n-Pentane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
C_6+	0.000000	0.000045	0.000045			0.06
TOTAL	**1.000000**	**1.000000**	**1.000000**			1000000

Properties

Compositional Indicies	
Vol % Hydrocarbons	0.01
Vol % CH_4	99.88
Vol % C_2+	0.00
CH_4 / $\sum C_2$+	N/A
C_2 / $\sum C_3$+	N/A
C_3 / $\sum$n-C_{4+}	N/A

Real Gross Heating Value (mj/m3) @15°C and 101.35 kPa	
Air Free as received	Moisture and Acid Gas Free
0.00	3.14

Relative Density	
Calc. Mol. Mass Ratio	Calc. Relative Density
0.9984	0.9984

Pseudo Critical Properties		
	As Received	Acid Gas Free
pPc (kPa)	3761	7124
pTc (°K)	133	294

Geological Origin of Natural Gas

Geological Formation	Depth Range (MD from KB of Well)	Probable Depth (MD from KB of Well)

Comments

Forensic Solutions for Oilfield Challenges

GCHEM Ltd. Bay #1, 4810-62 Avenue Lloydminster, AB T9V 2E9 Tel: (780) 871-4668 Fax: (780) 808-8883 e-mail: info@gchem.ca www.gchem.ca
GPA 2145-09. Revision 1.3, August 1, 2016

GCHEM LTD.

HIGH RESOLUTION GAS ANALYSIS
CARBON ISOTOPE ANALYSIS
HYDROGEN ISOTOPE ANALYSIS

Sampling Company	GCHEM Ltd.	**Lab Sample No.**	19134-03
Date Tested	September 10, 2019	**Test Type**	Soil gas
Operator Name	Strategic Oil & Gas	**Sample Container Type**	Glass Botlte
Unique Well Identifier	M-73	**Sampling Point**	SE5
Well Name	not provided	**Test Intervals or Perfs mKB**	N/A
Field or Area	not provided	**Date Received**	September 16, 2019
Pool or Zone	not provided	**Date Reported**	October 3, 2019
Well License	not provided	**Entered By**	Xiaolong Wang
H2S Level (Observed at Site)	not provided	**Reviewed By**	Brad Johnston

Sample Handling Conditions

	Source/Sampled	Received
Pressure (kPa)	N/A	47
Temperature (°C)	N/A	20

Other Information:

Laboratory Analysis

Component	HRGC Analysis As Received Mol Frac.	Air Free As received Mol Frac.	Air Free / Acid Free As Received Mol Frac.	Carbon Isotope Analysis ‰ VPDB	Hydrogen Isotope Analysis ‰ VSMOW	HRGC Analysis As Received ppm v/v
Neon	0.000014	0.003723	0.003723			13.93
Hydrogen	0.000004	0.001091	0.001091			4.08
Helium	0.000003	0.000740	0.000740			2.77
Nitrogen	0.777640	0.000000	0.000000			777640
Oxygen	0.218618	0.000003	0.000003			218618
Carbon Dioxide	0.003300	0.881974	0.881974	-17.23		3300
Carbonyl Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Hydrogen Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Ethyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Thiophene	nm	nm	nm			nm
Dimethyl Disulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methane	0.000434	0.116089	0.116089	-61.85		434.4
Ethane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Ethene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
n-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Pentane	0.000000	0.000055	0.000055			0.20
n-Pentane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
C_6+	0.000000	0.000048	0.000048			0.18
TOTAL	**1.000000**	**1.000000**	**1.000000**			1000000

Properties

Compositional Indicies	
Vol % Hydrocarbons	0.04
Vol % CH_4	99.95
Vol % C_2+	0.00
CH_4 / ∑C_2+	N/A
C_2 / ∑C_3+	N/A
C_3 /∑n-C_{4+}	N/A

Real Gross Heating Value (mj/m3) @15°C and 101.35 kPa	
Air Free as received	Moisture and Acid Gas Free
0.02	4.43

Relative Density	
Calc. Mol. Mass Ratio	Calc. Relative Density
0.9990	0.9990

Pseudo Critical Properties		
	As Received	Acid Gas Free
pPc (kPa)	3765	7047
pTc (°K)	133	290

Geological Origin of Natural Gas

Geological Formation	Depth Range (MD from KB of Well)	Probable Depth (MD from KB of Well)

Comments

Forensic Solutions for Oilfield Challenges

GCHEM Ltd. Bay #1, 4810-62 Avenue Lloydminster, AB T9V 2E9 Tel: (780) 871-4668 Fax: (780) 808-8883 e-mail: info@gchem.ca www.gchem.ca
GPA 2145-09. Revision 1.3, August 1, 2016

GCHEM LTD.

HIGH RESOLUTION GAS ANALYSIS
CARBON ISOTOPE ANALYSIS
HYDROGEN ISOTOPE ANALYSIS

Sampling Company	GCHEM Ltd.	**Lab Sample No.**	19134-07
Date Tested	September 11, 2019	**Test Type**	Soil gas
Operator Name	Strategic Oil & Gas	**Sample Container Type**	Glass Botlte
Unique Well Identifier	M-73	**Sampling Point**	BKG N35
Well Name	not provided	**Test Intervals or Perfs mKB**	N/A
Field or Area	not provided	**Date Received**	September 16, 2019
Pool or Zone	not provided	**Date Reported**	October 3, 2019
Well License	not provided	**Entered By**	Xiaolong Wang
H2S Level (Observed at Site)	not provided	**Reviewed By**	Brad Johnston

Sample Handling Conditions

	Source/Sampled	Received
Pressure (kPa)	N/A	62
Temperature (°C)	N/A	20

Other Information:

Laboratory Analysis

Component	HRGC Analysis As Received Mol Frac.	Air Free As received Mol Frac.	Air Free / Acid Free As Received Mol Frac.	Carbon Isotope Analysis ‰ VPDB	Hydrogen Isotope Analysis ‰ VSMOW	HRGC Analysis As Received ppm v/v
Neon	0.000013	0.002970	0.002970			13.17
Hydrogen	0.000006	0.001248	0.001248			5.53
Helium	0.000003	0.000644	0.000644			2.85
Nitrogen	0.775047	0.000000	0.000000			775047
Oxygen	0.220518	0.000003	0.000003			220518
Carbon Dioxide	0.004394	0.990766	0.990766			4394
Carbonyl Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Hydrogen Sulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Ethyl Mercaptan	nm	nm	nm			nm
Thiophene	nm	nm	nm			nm
Dimethyl Disulphide	nm	nm	nm			nm
Methane	0.000032	0.007318	0.007318			32.46
Ethane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Ethene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
Propene	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
n-Butane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
iso-Pentane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
n-Pentane	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000			<0.01
C_6+	0.000000	0.000021	0.000021			0.09
TOTAL	**1.000000**	**1.000000**	**1.000000**			1000000

Properties

Compositional Indicies	
Vol % Hydrocarbons	0.00
Vol % CH_4	100.00
Vol % C_2+	0.00
CH_4 / $\sum C_2$+	N/A
C_2 / $\sum C_3$+	N/A
C_3 /$\sum$n-C_{4+}	N/A

Real Gross Heating Value (mj/m3) @15°C and 101.35 kPa	
Air Free as received	Moisture and Acid Gas Free
0.00	0.30

Relative Density	
Calc. Mol. Mass Ratio	Calc. Relative Density
1.0000	1.0000

Pseudo Critical Properties		
	As Received	Acid Gas Free
pPc (kPa)	3772	7349
pTc (°K)	133	303

Geological Origin of Natural Gas

Geological Formation	Depth Range (MD from KB of Well)	Probable Depth (MD from KB of Well)

Comments

Forensic Solutions for Oilfield Challenges

GCHEM Ltd. Bay #1, 4810-62 Avenue Lloydminster, AB T9V 2E9 Tel: (780) 871-4668 Fax: (780) 808-8883 e-mail: info@gchem.ca www.gchem.ca
GPA 2145-09. Revision 1.3, August 1, 2016