OUTS

FINAL REPORT

DIGITAL HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

GEOLOGICAL & EVALUATION
Resource Management Division
MAR 7 1968
OTTAWA, CANADA
Dept. of Indian Affairs & Northern Development

GEOTERREX LTD.

INTRODUCTION AND SURVEY OPERATIONS

A digital high-sensitivity aeromagnetic survey was flown over a region between Great Bear Lake and the Franklin Mountains in the Northwest Territories between July 20 and August 1, 1967. The program consisted of east-west lines one mile apart and north-south tie lines three miles apart. Originally this covered an area where ground elevations ranged from 800 to 1800 ft., and a flight altitude of 2500 feet was chosen. This area was expanded after the survey had begun, and extended just into the mountains at the south-west corner. This corner could not be flown at the set altitude and lines were cut a little short. The total mileage was 6349 line miles.

The base of operations was at Norman Wells, from 50 to 150 miles away from the survey area. Since this is close to the auroral zone, where time variations of the earth's magnetic field are often erratically disturbed, two ground monitor magnetometers were established at Norman Wells, and at Fort Franklin, which is on the east edge of survey area. The first allowed the crew to judge whether diurnal conditions were acceptable for flying, and the second was used to control the results obtained. As an additional check, a base line on the west side of the area was flown at the start and end of each survey flight. Diurnal was surprisingly stable during the period of the survey, but a total of 545 line miles were

re-flown because of erratic disturbances. The diurnal variations will be the subject of a supplement to the present report.

A Douglas DC-3 owned and operated by Survair Ltd. was used as the survey aircraft. A C.S.F. caesium-vapour magnetometer was installed, the detector towed in a bird on some 250 feet of cable to remove it from the magnetic field of the aircraft. A digital frequency meter measured the total intensity of the earth's magnetic field in units of 0.01 gammas at intervals of 1 second, controlled by crystal clock. Measurements were recorded both on magnetic tape and on an analogue record for monitoring purposes. The ground magnetometers have similar characteristics.

Flight path was recorded by a 35mm continuous strip camera, and flight altitude by a Rosemount recording barometer. Fiducial marks were controlled by the crystal clock, and set on the film and analogue records at 50 second intervals.

SURVEY COMPILATION

Data processing included the following steps:

1. Flight path recovery on mosaics, and transferring points to base maps.
2. Locating times of intersections of north-south and east-west lines by crossing 35mm films.
3. Reading X-Y coordinates of intersections on maps using a Universal Transverse Mercator grid.

4. Preparing IBM cards showing times and X-Y coordinates of every intersection.
5. Checking and correcting flight path by computing aircraft ground speed between every intersection.
6. Converting air and ground magnetometer readings from magnetic tape to punched cards and correcting any recording errors.
7. Smoothing data and subtracting ground from airborne measurements.
8. Printing Calcomp profiles.
9. Computing differences of magnetic measurements from both lines at each intersection.
10. Adjustment of differences to level data together.
11. Computing final magnetic values, including regional correction, and X-Y coordinates at 5 second intervals.
12. Transcribing, contouring and drafting final magnetic maps.

INTERPRETATION BACKGROUND

The interpretation has been based on close study of the Calcomp profiles and the contour maps completed in steps 8 and 12 of the compilation. Calcomp profiles were printed using two vertical scales, 50 and 5 gammas per inch, so that large and small anomalies could be examined conveniently. Extra Calcomps at 5 gammas per inch were printed in some places, removing a strong regional slope that made analysis difficult.

A brief discussion of the methods used, and the concepts involved, is given below.

The routine of interpretation follows three stages. First breaking down the complex pattern of features into separate magnetic anomalies. Second analysing anomalies to determine their causes, particularly depths to their sources. Third, interpretation and synthesis of results in geological terms.

The first stage is based on the usual assumption that a particular anomaly arises from contrasts in magnetization between a particular body of rock and its neighbours. This assumption has been well justified by correlation of geological mapping with magnetic surveys over exposed Precambrian rocks. Anomalies have been separated by drawing tangents through inflection points on the profiles, one on either side of each peak or shoulder. This is a straightforward process, except in zones where anomalies from different levels are superposed. For instance, it may be very difficult to recognize a broad anomaly from basement rocks when a series of sharp features from shallow volcanics override it.

Inflection point locations were transferred from the profiles to the map, and traced from line to line. Individual anomalies were then outlined on the map, the outline generally following the inflection points. These outlines have a physical basis. For steeply dipping edges of a broad

body, the inflection points lie very close to the edges. If the body is narrow (width less than twice its depth), the inflection points are outside the edges. Thus the anomaly outlines should enclose the body, and usually lie close to its edges.

At the end of this stage, all significant magnetic anomalies were recognized and marked on the maps. They had to be sorted and classified. Classification is based on two characteristics, amplitude and shape. Since strong magnetizations are concentrated in the crystalline basement, intrusive, and volcanic rocks (with the exception of some iron formations), strong anomalies, with relief of tens of gammas or more, must be caused by such rocks. These anomalies are called basement features in this report if they do not rise above the basement surface. Often the edges of such bodies are associated with structure at the basement surface, perhaps through faulting or differential erosion. Weak anomalies are more difficult to classify, since they may have a variety of causes. They may come from minor contrasts within the basement, from local rises of the basement surface or, in some circumstances, from sedimentary contrasts. The shapes of the anomalies may be used to differentiate these types. These weak features are called "above basement" anomalies in the interpretation, and are stippled on the maps.

The analysis of shape is part of the second stage of interpretation. The method used has been largely the Inflection Tangent Intersection or ITI method developed by the Compagnie Generale de Geophysique (Giret and Naudy 1963). The principal parameters that are measured are illustrated in Figure 1. These are based on the three inflection tangents, for bodies of moderate width, and the asymptote, or zero level of the anomaly. The tangents at the anomaly maximum and minimum are also used. The analysis is made in two parts, using horizontal and vertical measurements on the profiles. Five horizontal measurements may be used, A_1 A_2, A_2 A_3, and A_2 A_4, together with the peak and inflection point widths T_1 T_2 and I_1 I_2. These lengths are plotted on transparent logarithmic paper and compared with the calculated lengths of various theoretical models: two-dimensional dykes, extending to great depths, or lenses, which have limited vertical thickness, or step models or bodies with limited lengths. These master curves include a wide range of depth-width ratios and angles of dip or inclination. The comparison of field and master curves shows which model gives the best fit, and the depth to the top of the body.

A common difficulty in this process is choosing the proper zero level asymptote. This is now checked by vertical measurements from the tangent intersections to the curve, and the total relief of the anomaly, as shown in the illustration. Again a comparison with master curves shows the best fitting




Fig: I

PARAMETERS OF INFLECTION TANGENT INTERSECTIONS

model, and its magnetization contrast. The measurements and analysis are revised to ensure that the two models, from vertical and horizontal measurements, are consistent.

In this interpretation, two principal problems have led to uncertainty with some anomalies. First, there is the problem of interference between closely spaced or superimposed anomalies. This has the effect of sharpening the flanks, and giving depth estimates that are too shallow. Second is the problem of selecting the proper model, especially in zones where there are numerous weak features. The difficulty lies in deciding whether a dyke or a lens or some intermediate shape should be used. It is illustrated by the normalized curves in Figure 2. At the top are a pair of symmetrical dyke anomalies, from models of different widths. The peak width (parameter T_1 T_2) would distinguish the two models. Below are a pair of lens anomalies, again distinguishable. But finally, the broader dyke and narrow lens are compared. The central portions of these anomalies are almost identical although their depths are very different. The key to distinguishing them lies in the outer parts, where the lens develops a negative effect. But this is exactly the part of the curve most subject to interference from neighbouring features. In practice it may be impossible to choose between the alternatives of a deeper lens or shallower dyke model. In this report, the dyke models have been used almost exclusively.

model, and its magnetization contrast. The measurements and analysis are revised to ensure that the two models, from vertical and horizontal measurements, are consistent.

In this interpretation, two principal problems have led to uncertainty with some anomalies. First, there is the problem of interference between closely spaced or superimposed anomalies. This has the effect of sharpening the flanks, and giving depth estimates that are too shallow. Second is the problem of selecting the proper model, especially in zones where there are numerous weak features. The difficulty lies in deciding whether a dyke or a lens or some intermediate shape should be used. It is illustrated by the normalized curves in Figure 2. At the top are a pair of symmetrical dyke anomalies, from models of different widths. The peak width (parameter T_1 T_2) would distinguish the two models. Below are a pair of lens anomalies, again distinguishable. But finally, the broader dyke and narrow lens are compared. The central portions of these anomalies are almost identical although their depths are very different. The key to distinguishing them lies in the outer parts, where the lens develops a negative effect. But this is exactly the part of the curve most subject to interference from neighbouring features. In practice it may be impossible to choose between the alternatives of a deeper lens or shallower dyke model. In this report, the dyke models have been used almost exclusively.




Fig: 2 : COMPARISON OF ANOMALIES FROM DYKES AND LENSES

In the final stage, the results are synthesized and related to geology. Consistency is a prime factor, both in terms of known geology and in relating one magnetic feature with another. Alternatives are reconsidered, for instance whether a given anomaly might be explained as coming from the basement surface or from within the sedimentary section.

In addition to the "basement" and "above basement" anomalies, two other groups of features are shown on the maps. One group are the deep-seated regional axes. These reflect changes of composition and are concentrated in the north half of the survey.

The second group are sharp minor features, and their peaks are shown by axis lines. Their sharpness implies that they are caused either by noise or by magnetic material near the surface of the ground. Undoubtedly some of them are noise effects, but not many. Results in other parts of Western Canada have proved the reality of similar surface effects, and here, their distribution in zones and persistent linear character would not support an explanation by a random noise pattern. It is difficult to explain the source of the magnetic material, but we suggest several possibilities. The material could be magnetic soil or superficial drift, in which case the anomalies are not significant. But it might reflect mineralization or minor intrusion, in which case it would be

related to structure and faulting in the basement and sediments. Some of the surface features can be singled out as good indicators of possible structure.

A number of possible faults are shown on the maps following two criteria. Some follow dislocations in the magnetic pattern suggesting horizontal movement. Others follow linear flanks of magnetic features which are suggestive of faulting. Of course no distinction can usually be made between old intrabasement faults, which have no effect on the sediments, and others which have relief on the basement surface. Therefore, the faults are considered independently from the depth estimates. Deciding whether or not to mark a possible fault on the map is a subjective process, in a sense "high grading". Many other possibilities exist, and could be marked if faulting is suggested from other data.

INTERPRETATION

Sheets 1, 2 and 3

The magnetic pattern across these sheets has a curious perpendicular look, which is typical of the north half of the survey. There are broad east-west features, producing the east-west contours across sheet 1 and the western half of sheet 2. They culminate here in a pair of regional maximum and minimum axes. But on the eastern side, they are overridden by much sharper north-south features. Depth calculations yield 20,000 to 30,000 feet for the east-west axes, while the north-south features are shallower by a

factor of ten, and are interpreted as coming from the basement surface. We wonder if the deep axes reflect a primordial precambrian basement, now buried beneath rocks which have been metamorphosed and intruded during the development of the basin, forming a new basement.

Basement Anomalies

The major disruption in the magnetic contours is caused by a north-south maximum in the north-east corner of sheet 2. This has a relief of over 50 gammas and arises from a change in composition in the basement rocks. Its linear form suggests a basic dyke, and a possible fault is marked along its western flank. Depth estimates imply a south dip along the anomaly, until it is abruptly cut off about latitude 65° 40'.

Another related feature begins with a broader anomaly in the north-west corner of sheet 3. This has a deeper source, within the basement. But from it a narrow linear anomaly extends south on sheets 2 and 5, again looking like a basic dyke at the basement surface. A similar linear anomaly was also found on sheet 3.

An anomaly of over 30 gammas lies just outside the survey boundary in the north-west corner of sheet 1, Presumably it is a basement effect.

The absence of basement anomalies on most of sheets 1 and 2 means that there is no control for extending depth

contours across them. Basement depths on sheet 3 are probably close to 2000 feet below sea level.

Above Basement Features

The anomalies designated "above basement" on these sheets are generally north-south features with depths estimated in the range from sea level down to -2000 feet. Comparing them with the linear north-south basement features, the forms are usually similar, but the "above-basement" anomalies are weaker and give shallower depths. Two possibilities exist: that all the bodies are on the same surface and we have calculated falsely shallow depths by using the wrong models for interpretation (dyke instead of lens), or that two periods of activity are involved, one bringing magnetic material to the basement surface, and the second, later period, bringing smaller volumes into the sedimentary section. The interpretation shown on the maps is based on the second hypothesis, but both alternatives should be kept in mind.

On sheet 1, a scatter of minor anomalies obscure the picture, but one obviously deeper anomaly has been recognized and outlined. A similar pattern extends onto sheet 2, with lenticular "above-basement" features suggesting small dykes within the sediments. In the south-west, a marked magnetic negative lies between two of these anomalies and suggests a graben. In the south-east corner, one anomaly has a north-westerly strike. It could reflect a weakened

continuation of the adjacent basement body, but calculated depths are considerably shallower. On sheet 3, anomalies are more frequent, and interference may be producing some false indications of shallow depth. This applies particularly to the anomaly in the south-west corner, which might well be a basement feature, related to the adjacent bodies to the north and west.

Possible Faults

Most of the possible faults on these sheets follow the flanks of linear magnetic anomalies, suggesting a basically north-south system of normal faults or tension fractures. There are some suggestions of transverse features. One extends north-east on the east side of sheet 2 through the end of the strong basement anomaly. Another is an east-west break in the north-west of sheet 2, following a marked offset in the anomaly pattern between lines 13 and 15. It is worth noting that the "above-basement" and surface anomalies both show offsets.

Sheets 4, 5 and 6

Although the dominant direction of the magnetic contours is north-south, regional axes can be traced right across these sheets from east to west. Depth estimates on the maximum range from 18,000 to 29,000 feet, with a steady deepening across sheet 5. It may also deepen on sheet 4, but the depths there are less certain, for the large peak is complicated by more interference from shallow sources.

Basement Features

The basement features can be split into two principal groups, some with the linear form characteristic of most basement effects throughout the survey, and others a more circular or peaked shape. Four may be put in this latter group. One very broad feature in the south-east quarter of sheet 5 could not be analysed because of other features superimposed on it. It may really be a sub-basement feature related to the original axes discussed above, and the huge maximum on sheets to the south. Immediately west, the magnetic contours show a sharp closure of some 75 gammas, probably reflecting a plug which is slightly elongated in a north-south direction. West of this again, another similar anomaly of some 50 gammas lies right on the survey boundary. The fourth anomaly in the group is a weak feature in the north-west corner of sheet 5, All of these anomalies give depths averaging a little over 3,000 feet.

Most notable among the other group are the 25 mile long anomaly on sheet 4, associated with similar features on sheets 2 and 8 to the north and south, and also a less persistent, but still long anomaly in the centre of sheet 5, which breaks up into sharp surface features to the north. Both are attributed to basic intrusives in the basement.

Depth estimates suggest a general basement surface at a level of 3,000 to 4,000 feet below sea level over most

of the area. This rises to less than 2,000 feet in the north-east. A less pronounced and less certain basement high is suggested in the south-east quarter of Sheet 5, and a low at the south end of Sheet 4.

Above Basement Features

As on the sheets to the north, many of the "above basement" anomalies have a similar character to the linear basement features but are weaker and give depths of sea level to 2,000 feet below sea level. But here a number are associated with north-easterly trends. These include anomalies in the north-west and south-east quarters of Sheet 5, and in the north-east part of Sheet 4. These anomalies support the suggestions of north-easterly faults. In the north-west quarter of Sheet 5, additional support for the fault is given by an adjacent surface anomaly of about 1 gamma relief. This fault might extend to join another on Sheet 2. Similarly, the possible fault suggested in the north-east of Sheet 4 might be extended along the "above basement" anomaly flanks in the south-east of Sheet 5. These extensions would cross north-south features, suggesting that the latter are younger.

Minor Features

Two other near-surface anomalies near the western side of Sheet 5 are unusual for their strength, which approaches 10 gammas. They are marked A and B on the maps. Anomaly A, shown on three tie lines, has a very unusual strike. It lies over an obvious break, and possible offset in the mountains.

Do these features reflect minor intrusion along young fault planes?

Faults

As before, possible faults have been suggested along the flanks of linear basement and "above basement" features. In several places, minor surface anomalies support the suggested locations. This is especially so for the north-south fault in the centre of Sheet 5, where the associated axes have relief of some 5 gammas; and also for the north-east trending fault in the north-west corner of Sheet 5, mentioned above.

In the north-east corner of Sheet 5, another possible fault is suggested across breaks in the magnetic pattern. Again, some support is given by a short minor axis.

Sheets 7, 8 and 9

The magnetic contours over Sheets 7 and 8 are dominated by a huge maximum. Precise depth determinations are difficult, but the source is probably well over 30,000 feet below sea level. This body is related to the east-west regional axes to the north, but its orientation is perpendicular, conforming with the strike of the mountains. The conformity is extended by a pronounced nose developed to the south-east, on Sheet 8, marked as a regional maximum axis. The flanks of the huge maximum are steep almost to the point of obscuring weak anomalies, especially to the west.

Basement Features

Most of Sheets 7 and 8 show continuations of the type of basement feature found to the north, with one remarkably continuous body crossing Sheet 8 from north to south. It is obscured crossing the deeper-seated regional maximum axis suggesting some connection between the two features. Minor surface anomalies have been found parallel to both these major features near the junction. This could be evidence of minor mineralization along fractures over deeper structural trends, although correlations are not ideal, and some of the surface axes are uncertain. The north-south fault along the flank of the basement anomaly may also be reflected by topography near the south side of the sheet, where north-west trending hills are suddenly cut off.

Depth estimates along this anomaly show a consistent rise to the south and this rise appears related to another basement high over a similar feature to the north-east. Together these form a broad nose on the basement surface, enclosed by the 3,000 foot depth contour. A general level of 3,000 to 4,000 feet is expected to the west, although few depth estimates could be made there. Some figures suggest a gentle rise in the north end of Sheet 7, but this is not well defined. The low at the north-west corner of Sheet 8 is better controlled.

In the south-western corners of Sheets 7 and 8, a very different magnetic pattern appears, entering the front of

the disturbed zone along the mountain front. The pattern developed is a continuous magnetic low, followed to the southwest by a discontinuous array of highs. The possible fault shown between them might be better called a boundary line. And strangely, this line lies about 5 miles northeast of the mountain front. On Sheet 7, the single basement anomaly behind this line appears to be below the general basement surface determined on Sheet 11 to the south.

Approaching Sheet 9, a remarkable series of north-south linear basement features has been mapped. Two strong and continuous magnetic maxima are outlined on Sheet 9, with subsidiary highs or shoulders developed along their outer flanks. The western maximum takes a sudden turn to the northeast at its north end, and could continue along the fault suspected on the southeast side of Keith Arm of Great Bear Lake.

In places these two maxima could be interpreted as a pair of roughly symmetrical peaks, suggesting nearly vertical basic bodies. But a strong low on the west side is obviously associated with the adjacent maximum. Furthermore the profiles around lines 151 and 153, where the eastern peak has died out, present a classic picture of the antisymmetrical anomaly, with nearly equal maximum and minimum. This must be interpreted as the western edge of a nearly horizontal sheet of basic rocks; like the edge of a sill but on a large scale. Extending this picture through the regions where two maxima appear, it is possible to interpret the western peak as the edge of a sheet, with gradually steepening dip to the east as we go south and the eastern peak as a near vertical dyke shaped body. But since the magnetic

anomaly of a vertical thin sheet has exactly the same form as a symmetrical folded sheet or an anticlinal axis, it may not be necessary to imagine a vertical body intruding the horizontal sheet. Both geologically and geophysically, it is reasonable to interpret the western pair of high-low axes as the edge of a near horizontal body, and the eastern high axis as the crest of a fold in the same body. Where the edge curves to the northeast, the folding dies out.

Great differences in depth determinations appear if the anomalies are interpreted as nearly horizontal or nearly vertical bodies. Unfortunately the depths from horizontal bodies are critically dependent upon the thickness of the body. To develop some consistency in the results, the Peters half slope method has been applied, dividing the measured length by a factor of 1.6. This is equivalent to assuming a thickness of about 3 times the depth to the top. This may be too great, for it implies a thickness of 15,000 to 20,000 feet. A reduction in thickness to equal the depth to the top would increase the sub-flight depths by a factor of 1.23, or with small thickness, by 1.60. Such increases would place the body well below the basement surface determined elsewhere. The present results do make a coherent pattern across Sheet 9 with basement depths increasing from less than 2000 to more than 4000 feet to the northwest. This develops a basement low running northeast, and turning into the topographic low beneath Keith Arm.

Subsidiary anomalies develop with the major basement

features. One is a long and narrow outline on the eastern edge of Sheet 8. This is probably quite spurious, following the hump which must develop on the flank of the minimum axis immediately to the east. But a genuine anomaly appears along the same line in the southeast corner of Sheet 8, apparently over a near-vertical basic rock body.

On sheet 9, a shoulder on the flank of the eastern maximum axis is outlined as a separate basement feature, possibly reflecting a second, minor, fold axis in the horizontal sheet.

A major change in the magnetic pattern on Sheet 9 occurs along a line running southeast from the apex of curvature of the western maximum axis. This is marked as a possible fault or boundary zone. Northeast striking anomalies develop northeast of this line, and one long narrow feature may follow a small dyke at the basement surface.

Above Basement Features

Among the "above basement" features outlined on these sheets, two groups form trends that may be important. One is a series of small features aligned north-south on Sheet 7. Their discontinuous appearance may reflect the difficulty of recognizing weak anomalies on the flank of the huge maximum. A second set of longer anomalies runs north-northwest across the northeast part of Sheet 8. They do not exceed four gammas in amplitude, but follow a boundary of a region to the northeast that contains a large concentration of "above basement" anomalies, many with northeast strikes. A possible fault is

suggested along this boundary, and the "above basement" anomalies along it could indicate minor intrusion along the fault plane.

On Sheet 9, two "above basement" anomalies are outlined on shoulders of the west side of the major north-south maximum. These could reflect separate bodies rising above the main mass of basic rock, but more likely they show irregularities along its edge.

Minor Features

In the southwest corner of Sheet 8, there is an extraordinary concentration of minor anomalies with north to northwest strikes. They seem to be related to the Franklin mountains both in proximity and direction. Their continuity and amplitudes of a few gammas suggest minor mineralization and possible association with faulting or structure. The sudden vanishing of these features to the north may indicate changing behaviour of the sediments.

In the extreme east of Sheet 9 a number of sharp anomalies of shallow origin strike northeast. These all lie northeast of a possible fault or boundary line and support the idea of different structural patterns on the two sides of this boundary.

Faults

The northeast striking possible faults on the east side are probably the oldest in this area. One is cut off by a northwesterly boundary or possible fault. The other curves into a north-south feature along the flank of the horizontal sheet discussed previously.

Other possible faults follow north to north-northwest flanks of basement and "above basement" features and the boundary of the disturbed zone in the southwest. These have already been discussed.

One minor fault is suggested striking northeast in the centre of Sheet 8. It is based on three pieces of evidence: A slight change in strike of the basement body to the northeast; the flank of an "above basement" anomaly and a parallel minor axis; the discontinuity of a perpendicular minor axis which appears on lines 163 and 165 but not on tie line 349.

Sheets 10 and 11

Regionally, three areas with different magnetic contour patterns may be distinguished across these sheets. In the southwest corner a large magnetic minimum, striking northwest, marks the edge of the disturbed basement associated with the Franklin mountains. Southwest of this minimum, the pattern is very disturbed. A second region across the centre of Sheet 11 has smooth and steep magnetic gradients between this minimum and the huge maximum centred on Sheet 8 to the north. Then over Sheet 10 and the eastern third of Sheet 11, a number of basement anomalies appear, forming a third region.

Basement Features

The continuity of the magnetic minimum axis in the southwest part of Sheet 11 contrasts with the irregular peaks in the disturbed magnetic pattern to the southwest. The possible fault drawn between the minimum and the peaks probably marks a boundary zone or hinge line. Basement to the northeast is relatively undisturbed, but much intrusion and metamorphism

occurs to the southwest. The boundary zone lies some 5 miles northeast of the mountain front. If it reflects the same thrust faulting as the mountain front, we could expect it to lie on the other side, to the southwest. Hence it seems more likely that the basement boundary has controlled the location of the mountains, rather than vice versa. In any event, the character of sedimentary structure is likely to be quite different on the two sides of this boundary. Basement depth estimates in the disturbed area are erratic, partly due to the irregular forms of the magnetic features and the uncertainty of strike corrections. The figures range from -1900 to -4600 feet, and it seems reasonable to interpret a high area to the southwest, with a 3000 foot contour close to the boundary of the region.

The long basic rock body on Sheet 8 has a clear extension over Sheet 11, where relief exceeds 30 gammas. The 4000 foot depth contour fits this body quite well but is poorly controlled in the centre of the sheet.

Proceeding east onto Sheet 10, there are continuations of the pattern from Sheet 9 discussed previously. But in this region there are significant changes as the larger western maximum axis weakens and the minimum on its western side almost disappears. The horizontal sheet of basic rock seems to be developing pronounced east dip as we proceed south. This gives uncertainty to the depth estimates, and alternative sets are shown on one anomaly, interpreted on two hypotheses. We prefer the set along its flank, averaging about 5000 feet, rather than the shallower set, near 3000 feet along its axis. This preference

is partly based on the replacement of both the eastern and western maxima by a single strong symmetrical maximum at the south boundary of the survey. Relationships are not clear, but this final feature seems to be a basic body well below the basement surface. Possibly it reflects part of the pluton which was the source of the horizontal sheet of basic rock.

A broad and poorly defined anomaly lies at the north-east corner of Sheet 10, reflecting a change of composition at or below the basement surface.

Above Basement Features

Several weak anomalies in this region yield moderate depths and are classed as "above basement" features, but their immediate significance is not apparent.

Minor Features

There is a high concentration of sharp minor anomalies or noise in the southwest corner of Sheet 11. Few could be followed from line to line with certainty. One of these, Marked C, is relatively strong, averaging 5 gammas relief, and may well reflect minor mineralization or intrusion.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The aeromagnetic data has been used to interpret four different magnetic horizons within the survey area. These are:

1. A deep horizon, from 20,000 to more than 30,000 feet, reflected by east-west regional axes in the north half, and a huge north-south magnetic maximum together with a northwest-

is partly based on the replacement of both the eastern and western maxima by a single strong symmetrical maximum at the south boundary of the survey. Relationships are not clear, but this final feature seems to be a basic body well below the basement surface. Possibly it reflects part of the pluton which was the source of the horizontal sheet of basic rock.

A broad and poorly defined anomaly lies at the north-east corner of Sheet 10, reflecting a change of composition at or below the basement surface.

Above Basement Features

Several weak anomalies in this region yield moderate depths and are classed as "above basement" features, but their immediate significance is not apparent.

Minor Features

There is a high concentration of sharp minor anomalies or noise in the southwest corner of Sheet 11. Few could be followed from line to line with certainty. One of these, Marked C, is relatively strong, averaging 5 gammas relief, and may well reflect minor mineralization or intrusion.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The aeromagnetic data has been used to interpret four different magnetic horizons within the survey area. These are:

1. A deep horizon, from 20,000 to more than 30,000 feet, reflected by east-west regional axes in the north half, and a huge north-south magnetic maximum together with a northwest-

southeast axis on Sheet 8. This could follow a primordial basement surface, or perhaps intrusive and metamorphic activity within the basement.

2. Basement, at depths from less than 2000 to more than 4000 feet below sea level. Through most of the area, the basement anomalies are linear north-south features, These reflect near-vertical, relatively narrow basic rock bodies, with one notable exception in the south-east, on Sheets 9 and 10 where an almost horizontal body has been interpreted. The geological nature of this body is difficult to understand, since it appears to cover a large area to the east and to have a thickness of thousands of feet. Additional anomalies to the east may indicate folds or rises of its upper surface. Along the southwest edge of the survey, on Sheets 7, 11 and possibly 5, a very different type of basement has been found with irregularly shaped bodies. This is associated with the mountain front, but the disturbed basement zone extends some five miles beyond the mountains. The difference in location implies that the basement disturbances controlled the location of the mountains. Finally it is important to recognize the absence of magnetic features from the basement surface in large parts of the survey area, notably in Sheets 1, the west half of Sheet 2, the northwest part of Sheet 5, Sheet 6, and large portions of Sheets 7 and 8. Basement there, is remarkably uniform in composition.

3. Above Basement. A large number of weak anomalies give depths from sea level down to some 2000 feet below sea level. Many of them form long trends running roughly north-south, giving

the appearance of small dykes. But an alternative interpretation is possible, using lens models of limited vertical extent, and this could place the anomaly sources at or close to the basement surface. The dyke alternative is preferred on two counts: because it seems more plausible geologically, and because it would be most dangerous to pursue exploration without this possibility kept foremost in mind.

4. Surface Effects. Numerous anomalies are so sharp that they must come from near the surface of the ground. Many have been correlated from line to line and form apparently linear trends. Although some of them are undoubtedly noise effects, the continuity, the arrangement in zones, and the parallelism to stronger and deeper seated anomalies, supports the idea that many of these features are both real and related to underlying structure. The source of the magnetic material involved is uncertain, but it might come from minor mineralization penetrating the sediments or sometimes from minor intrusion. The surface effects obscure recognition of deeper anomalies in some places.

Reviewing these four magnetic horizons raises a serious question of definition. Has the basementabeen correctly identified, and what, indeed, is the correct definition of basement in this area? The basement depth contours are based on estimates to the top of one set of basic rock bodies, assuming a smooth surface between them. Other interpretations are possible. At one extreme these bodies could be considered as intrusives penetrating the sediments, with the basement at an undetermined depth below them. A similar situation exists in the Arctic

Archipelago where dykes and intrusive rocks in domes have penetrated tens of thousand of feet of sediments. At the other extreme, the basement surface might be drawn through points determined from the "Above basement" anomalies, meaning that some of the bodies now considered at the basement surface are actually below it. This would be unusual, in that it is rare to find a basement reflected only by weak anomalies, with its magnetic materials concentrated below the basement surface. This is likely only when basement is defined as the top of weakly metamorphosed rocks.

A number of possible faults are drawn on the maps, based on several different criteria. They may follow the edges of long continuous magnetic anomalies or breaks and offsets in the anomaly pattern. In many cases, it is difficult to choose between drawing a fault on one side of a body or the other, and both possibilities should be kept in mind. Some might be better called boundary zones rather than possible faults, for they separate areas of different basement character.

Among the possible faults, perhaps the oldest group may strike northeast. Only a few of these have been recognized, one group on Sheets 2, 3, 4 and 5 and another on Sheet 9. These are generally on the east side of the survey and may be extensions of parallel features from the Precambrian shield to the northeast. They tend to be cut off, overridden or obscured by stronger, and probably younger features striking generally north-south. This second group is the dominant set so far as structure within the basement is concerned. And in view of the

structural history of the area, it would be surprising if the sediments did not reflect such strongly marked basement features. Most of the possible faults in this group form a zone through the centre of the area from Sheet 2 down to Sheet 9. The parallel faults interpreted on Sheets 9 and 10 may form a subgroup, for they are related to the horizontal sheet mentioned above perhaps involving a different type of structure. Finally there are two northwest striking possible faults which mark boundary zones between different basement regions. The boundary effect is obvious in the southwest corner of the survey where it is associated with the mountain front and less clear for the feature on Sheet 9.

The results of this aeromagnetic survey show many interesting possibilities for further exploration. They should be used to guide further work, and then to correlate results so that an integrated picture of basement, subsurface and surface geology and structure can be assembled.

I. Fraser

M. S. Reford


Geophysicists.

OTTAWA,
January 25, 1966.

GEOTERREX LTD.

125°00'
65°00'
410
30'
450
124°00'
65°00'
7205
TL-322
TL-325
TL-328
TL-331
TL-334
TL-337
L-117
L-119
L-121
L-125
L-129
L-133
L-137
L-141
L-145
L-149
L-153
L-157
L-161
L-165
L-169
St-Charles
Creek
WINTER
ROAD
MACKENZIE
RIVER
Big Smith Creek

124°00'
65°00'
495
30'
123°00'
65°00'
7205
-41
-60
-55
-50
-42
-38
-33
-26
-26
-27
-21
-17
-23
-12
-26
-18
-13
-18
-380
+2
-21
+4
+8
+6
-13
-18
-16
-15
+9
-13
-5
+3
+3
+7
-22
-36
4000
3000
2000
3000
2000
4000
PORCUPINE
St - Charles
Creek
RIVER
REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS


123°30'
65°30'
475
7260
L-49
L-53
L-57
L-61
L-65
L-69
L-73
L-77
L-81
L-85
L-89
L-93
L-97
L-101
TL-349
TL-346
TL-343
TL-340
124°00'
65°30'
485
7260

WINTER ROAD

MACKENZIE RIVER

WINTER ROAD

Big Smith Creek

Smith Creek

Little

L-145 L-149 L-153 L-157 L-161 L-165 L-169 L-173 L-177 L-181 L-185

7155

64°30' 125°00' 405 30' 450 64°30' 124°00'

TL-331 TL-334 TL-337

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS ...
25 GAMMAS ...
5 GAMMAS ...
1 GAMMA ....
0.5 GAMMAS ...
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL ..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL ..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360


HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX

125°00' 124°00' 66°00'
65°00' 125°30' 122°00' 65°00' 64°15' 122°00'

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET; SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

L-77 L-81 L-85 L-89 L-93 L-97 L-101 L-105 L-109 L-113 L-115 7210

L-77 L-81 L-85 L-89 L-93 L-97 L-101 L-105 L-109 L-113 L-115 7210

RIVER

PORCUPINE

BEAR

GREAT

RIVER

65°00' 124°00' 455 TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349 475 65°00' 123°30'

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS . . .
25 GAMMAS . . .
5 GAMMAS . . .
1 GAMMA . . .
0.5 GAMMAS . .
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE : 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX




SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

125°00'
66°00'
415
30'
450
124°00'
66°00'
7315
45'
BYDAND
BAY
FORD BAY
WHITEFISH
RIVER
WHITE
WATER
LILY
LAKE
TL-316
TL-319
TL-322
TL-325
TL-328
TL-331
TL-334
TL-337
TL-313
TL-310
L-1
L-5
L-9
L-13
L-17
L-21
L-25
L-29
L-33
6125
6135
6150
6160
6170
6175
6180
6190
6195
6197
6200
6210
6230
6243
6250
6270
6275
6285
6290
6295
6310
6320
6330
6340
6345
6350
6360
6375
6380
6390
6400
6415
6420
6425
6435
6445
6450
6460
6465
6470
6480
6485
6490
6495
6500
6510
6515
6520
6525
6530
6535
6540
6545
6550
6555
6560
6565
6570
6575
6580
6585
6590
6595
6600
6605
6610
6615
6620
6625
6630
6635
6640
6645
6650
6655
6660
6665
6670
6675
6680
6685
6690
6695
6700
6705
6710
6715
6720
6725
6730
6735
6740
6745
6750
6755
6760
6765
6770
6775
6780
6785
6790
6795
6800
6805
6810
6815
6820
6825
6830
6835
6840
6845
6850
6855
6860
6865
6870
6875
6880
6885
6890
6895
6900
6905
6910
6915
6920
6925
6930
6935
6940
6945
6950
6955
6960
6965
6970
6975
6980
6985
6990
6995
7000
7005
7010
7015
7020
7025
7030
7035
7040
7045
7050
7055
7060
7065
7070
7075
7080
7085
7090
7095
7100
7105
7110
7115
7120
7125
7130
7135
7140
7145
7150
7155
7160
7165
7170
7175
7180
7185
7190
7195
7200
7205
7210
7215
7220
7225
7230
7235
7240
7245
7250
7255
7260
7265
7270
7275
7280
7285
7290
7295
7300
7305
7310
7315
7320
7325
7330
7335
7340
7345
7350
7355
7360
7365
7370
7375
7380
7385
7390
7395
7400
7405
7410
7415
7420
7425
7430
7435
7440
7445
7450
7455
7460
7465
7470
7475
7480
7485
7490
7495
7500
7505
7510
7515
7520
7525
7530
7535
7540
7545
7550
7555
7560
7565
7570
7575
7580
7585
7590
7595
7600
7605
7610
7615
7620
7625
7630
7635
7640
7645
7650
7655
7660
7665
7670
7675
7680
7685
7690
7695
7700
7705
7710
7715
7720
7725
7730
7735
7740
7745
7750
7755
7760
7765
7770
7775
7780
7785
7790
7795
7800
7805
7810
7815
7820
7825
7830
7835
7840
7845
7850
7855
7860
7865
7870
7875
7880
7885
7890
7895
7900
7905
7910
7915
7920
7925
7930
7935
7940
7945
7950
7955
7960
7965
7970
7975
7980
7985
7990
7995
8000
8005
8010
8015
8020
8025
8030
8035
8040
8045
8050
8055
8060
8065
8070
8075
8080
8085
8090
8095
8100
8105
8110
8115
8120
8125
8130
8135
8140
8145
8150
8155
8160
8165
8170
8175
8180
8185
8190
8195
8200
8205
8210
8215
8220
8225
8230
8235
8240
8245
8250
8255
8260
8265
8270
8275
8280
8285
8290
8295
8300
8305
8310
8315
8320
8325
8330
8335
8340
8345
8350
8355
8360
8365
8370
8375
8380
8385
8390
8395
8400
8405
8410
8415
8420
8425
8430
8435
8440
8445
8450
8455
8460
8465
8470
8475
8480
8485
8490
8495
8500
8505
8510
8515
8520
8525
8530
8535
8540
8545
8550
8555
8560
8565
8570
8575
8580
8585
8590
8595
8600
8605
8610
8615
8620
8625
8630
8635
8640
8645
8650
8655
8660
8665
8670
8675
8680
8685
8690
8695
8700
8705
8710
8715
8720
8725
8730
8735
8740
8745
8750
8755
8760
8765
8770
8775
8780
8785
8790
8795
8800
8805
8810
8815
8820
8825
8830
8835
8840
8845
8850
8855
8860
8865
8870
8875
8880
8885
8890
8895
8900
8905
8910
8915
8920
8925
8930
8935
8940
8945
8950
8955
8960
8965
8970
8975
8980
8985
8990
8995
9000
9005
9010
9015
9020
9025
9030
9035
9040
9045
9050
9055
9060
9065
9070
9075
9080
9085
9090
9095
9100
9105
9110
9115
9120
9125
9130
9135
9140
9145
9150
9155
9160
9165
9170
9175
9180
9185
9190
9195
9200
9205
9210
9215
9220
9225
9230
9235
9240
9245
9250
9255
9260
9265
9270
9275
9280
9285
9290
9295
9300
9305
9310
9315
9320
9325
9330
9335
9340
9345
9350
9355
9360
9365
9370
9375
9380
9385
9390
9395
9400
9405
9410
9415
9420
9425
9430
9435
9440
9445
9450
9455
9460
9465
9470
9475
9480
9485
9490
9495
9500
9505
9510
9515
9520
9525
9530
9535
9540
9545
9550
9555
9560
9565
9570
9575
9580
9585
9590
9595
9600
9605
9610
9615
9620
9625
9630
9635
9640
9645
9650
9655
9660
9665
9670
9675
9680
9685
9690
9695
9700
9705
9710
9715
9720
9725
9730
9735
9740
9745
9750
9755
9760
9765
9770
9775
9780
9785
9790
9795
9800
9805
9810
9815
9820
9825
9830
9835
9840
9845
9850
9855
9860
9865
9870
9875
9880
9885
9890
9895
9900
9905
9910
9915
9920
9925
9930
9935
9940
9945
9950
9955
9960
9965
9970
9975
9980
9985
9990
9995
10000

125°00'
65°00'
410
30'
3000
450
124°00'
65°00'
7205
7205
-42
-37
-30
-29
-30
-30
St-Charles
Creek
-16
-16
+6
-36
-29
-14
+7
+5
+3
+2
+6
WINTER
ROAD
MACKENZIE
RIVER
WINTER
ROAD
Big
Smith
Creek

125°00'
66°00'
415
30'
450
124°00'
66°00'
7315
45'
BYDAND
BAY
FORD BAY
WHITEFISH
WHITE
WATER
LILY
LAKE
RIVER
REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS
±10
-16
2000
-21
-19
-17
-16
-14
-270
-330
-245
±4
3000
-25
-29
-17
-32
-36
-41
-34
-34
-15
-3
-13
-19
±5



MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS ...
25 GAMMAS ...
5 GAMMAS ...
1 GAMMA ....
0.5 GAMMAS ...
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 3,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES


SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25.....DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET:
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS

REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS

WHITE WATER LILY LAKE

RIVER

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25.....DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX

SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

2 OF 2

TL-367 TL-370 TL-373

123°00' 65°00' 505 30' 545 122°00' 65°00'

L-117 L-121 L-125 L-129 L-133 L-137 L-141 L-145 L-149 L-153 L-157 L-161 L-165 L-169 L-173

7205

TL-376 TL-379 TL-382 TL-385 TL-388 L-139 L-141 L-145 L-149 L-153 L-157 L-161 L-165 L-169

123°00'
65°00'
505
30'
545
122°00'
65°00'
7205

4
-19
-19
4000
-46
-47
-78
-96
-80
-64
-60
-58
-60
-59
-57
-43
-40
-36
-45
-54
-41
-38
4000
3000
2000
-14
-10
-21
-26
-38
-37
-26
-28
-36
-27
-22
-9
+7

TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349 TL-352 TL-355 TL-358 TL-361 TL-364

124°00' 30' 123°00'

65°00' 65°00'

L-117 L-121 L-125 L-129 L-133 L-137 L-141 L-145 L-149 L-153 L-157 L-161 L-165 L-169

7205

ST. CHARLES

PORCUPINE

RIVER


Creek

REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS

REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS

TL-358
TL-361
TL-364
123°00'
65°00'
L-117
L-121
L-125
L-129
L-133
L-137
L-141
L-145
L-149
L-153
L-157
L-161
L-165
L-169

124°00'
65°30'
123°30'
65°30'
455
475
7260
REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS
REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS
2000
3000
-10
-26
-22
-48
-48
-22
-16
-20
-21
-10
-35
-59
+1
-270
-290
250



MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS . . .
25 GAMMAS . . .
5 GAMMAS . . .
1 GAMMA . . .
0.5 GAMMAS . . .
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL . . . . . 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL . . . . . 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

0 1 2 3 MILES


SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



INTERPRETATION LEGE

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTL
ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMA
AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC
POSSIBLE FAULTING
BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOUR
25 ..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDR
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD

-96 -80 -64 -60 -58 -60 -59 -57 -43 -40 -36 -45 -54 -41 -38 -21 -26 -38 -37 -26 -28 -36 -27 -27 -27 -31 -30 -26 -28 -28 -14 -10 -9 +7 -22 -20 -27 -12 4000 3000 2000

7155

64°30' 123°00' 505 30' 545 64°30' 122°00'

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET: SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

L-149 L-153 L-157 L-161 L-165 L-169 L-173 L-177 L-181 7155 L-185

64°30' 124°00' 455 30' 495 64°30' 123°00'

TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349 TL-352 TL-355 TL-358 TL-361 TL-364

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS . . . ——————
25 GAMMAS . . . ——————
5 GAMMAS . . . ——————
1 GAMMA . . . . ——————
0.5 GAMMAS . . . — — — —
MAGNETIC LOW ▲ ▲ ▲

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL . . . . . 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL . . . . . 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1: 63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS

-48
-22
-4
-16
-20
-21
-10
-35
-59
+1
-15
-11
-23
-53
RIVER
PORCUPINE
BEAR
GREAT
4000
7210
65°00'
124°00'
455
475
123°30'

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

- BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES
- ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES
- AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES
- POSSIBLE FAULTING
- BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS
- 25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET: SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

125°00'
65°30'
410
30'
450
124°00'
65°30'
7260
REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS
2000
3000
-32
A
+7
REGIONAL MAXIMUM AXIS
-280
-260
-225
-195
-180
-29
-45
-39
-48
-21
+2
+5
+7
+8
-2
-4
0
-9
-20
-22
Stick
Creek
+9
B
-34
-32
-29
-27
-10
-25

TL-310 TL-313 TL-316 TL-319 TL-322 TL-325 TL-328 TL-331 TL-334 TL-337

125°00' 65°30' 124°00' 65°30'

30'

410 450

7260

L-49 L-53 L-57 L-61 L-65 L-69 L-73 L-77 L-81 L-85 L-89 L-93 L-97

Stick Creek

TL-331 TL-334 TL-337

124°00'
65°30'

L-49
L-53
L-57
L-61
L-65
L-69
L-73
L-77
L-81
L-85
L-89
L-93
L-97

7260

Stick Creek

1

TL-301 TL-304 TL-307

125°30' 65°30' 385 405 125°00' 65°30'

7265 7265

MAHONY LAKE

L-49 L-53 L-57 L-61 L-65 L-69 L-71

TL-301 TL-304 TL-307

LOCHE RIVER

BRACKETT LAKE

W RIVER

BRACKETT

125°30'
65°30'
385
405
125°00'
65°30'
7265
7265
REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS
MAHONY
LAKE
+12
+9
+6
LOCHE
RIVER
BRACKETT
LAKE
RIVER
BRACKETT



INTERPRETATION LEGEND

- BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES
- ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES
- AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES
- POSSIBLE FAULTING
- BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS
- 25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET: SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY


NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



MAGNETIC LEGEND

- 100 GAMMAS
- 25 GAMMAS
- 5 GAMMAS
- 1 GAMMA
- 0.5 GAMMAS
- MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIE

TL-313 TL-310 TL-316 TL-319 TL-322 TL-325 TL-328 TL-331 TL-334 TL-337

L-73 L-77 L-81 L-85 L-89 L-93 L-97 L-101 L-105 L-109 L-113 L-115

Stick Creek

BEAR RIVER

GREAT

Wolverine Creek

WINTER ROAD

7210 65°00' 125°00'

410

30'

450 65°00' 124°00'

7210

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS	———
25 GAMMAS	———
5 GAMMAS	———
1 GAMMA	———
0.5 GAMMAS	— — —
MAGNETIC LOW	▲ ▲ ▲

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL.... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.


INDEX

125°00' 124°00' 66°00' 65°00' 125°30' 122°00' 64°15'

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

TL-304



MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS ...
25 GAMMAS ...
5 GAMMAS ...
1 GAMMA ....
0.5 GAMMAS : ..
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1INCH=1MILE OR 1:63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

2 OF

LOCHE RIVER

BRACKETT LAKE

BRACKETT RIVER

GREAT BEAR RIVER

7215

65°00'
125°30'

385

405

65°00'
125°00'

INTERPRETATION LEGEND



BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

123°00'
64°30'
505
30'
545
122°00'
64°30'
7150
7130
64°15'

-33 -27 -35 -40 +7 -26 -30 -25 -21 -23 -33 -28 -31 -3000 -30 -30 -9 -21 -12 (-34) -45 (-29) -51 (-26) -57 (-26) -49 -84 -13

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

- BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES
- ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES
- AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES
- POSSIBLE FAULTING
- BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS
- 25 ..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET: SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349 TL-352 TL-355 TL-358 TL-361 TL-364

124°00' 64°30' 455 30' 495 123°00' 64°30'

L-187 L-189 L-193 L-197 L-201 L-205 L-209

7150 7130

WINTER ROAD

TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349 TL-352 TL-355 TL-358 TL-361 TL-364

64°15' 124°00' 455 30' 495 123°00' 64°15'

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS ....
25 GAMMAS ....
5 GAMMAS ....
1 GAMMA ....
0.5 GAMMAS ....
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL ..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL .... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX

125°00' 124°00' 66°00' 65°00' 125°30' 122°00' 64°15'

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

Geological Survey of Canada Dept. of Indian Affairs & Northern Development MAR 4 1969 OPEN FILE

123°00'
64°30'
505
30'
545
122°00'
64°30'
7150
7130
64°15'
123°00'
122°00'
64°15'

-33
-27
-35
-40
-26
-30
-25
-21
-23
-33
-28
-31
-49
-84
+7
(-34)
-45
(-29)
-51
(-26)
-57
(-26)
3000'
-30
-30
-9
-21
-12
-13

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET:
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967


1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1INCH=1MILE OR 1:63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS ...
25 GAMMAS ...
5 GAMMAS ...
1 GAMMA ....
0.5 GAMMAS ...
MAGNETIC LOW

NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL ..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL ..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

0 1 2 3 MILES


SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360

HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



TL-340 TL-343 TL-346 TL-349

MAGNETIC LEGEND

100 GAMMAS	———
25 GAMMAS	———
5 GAMMAS	———
1 GAMMA	———
0.5 GAMMAS	– – – –
MAGNETIC LOW	▲——▲——▲

FLIGHT ALTITUDE: 2,500' A.S.L.
LINE INTERVAL..... 1 MILE
TIE LINE INTERVAL..... 3 MILES

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY


1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1:63,360


HORIZONTAL CONTROL BASED ON TOPOGRAPHICAL MAPS PUBLISHED BY ENERGY, MINES AND RESOURCES.

INDEX



NOTE: NO REGIONAL CORRECTION APPLIED

SURVEY BY GEOTERREX LIMITED


124°00'
65°45'
455
475
123°30'
7290
TL-340
TL-343
TL-346
TL-349
L-25
L-29
L-33
L-37
L-41
L-45
L-47
7265
65°30'
WHITEFISH
RIVER
6395
6250
6240
6225
6220
6235
6215
6265
6210
6213
6207.5
6200
6230
78890
62730
28480
59840
27730
28030
17928
20226
20428
23128
25578
25678
27928
71767
43218
78640
41018
62480
60090
28780
41268
43968
71517
790
690
680
530
940
040
667
567
478
378
278
178
078
978
878
778
728
678
628
578
528
428
328
278
228
178
128
078
028
978
928
878
828
778
728
678
628
578
528
478
428
378



INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET:
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967


1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE: 1INCH=1MILE OR 1:63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED



REGIONAL MINIMUM AXIS

INDEX

SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED

125°30'
65°45'
390
405
125°00'
65°45'
7290
7290
7270
7270
65°30'
125°30'
385
405
65°30'
125°00'
MAHONY
LAKE
-7
+6
+13
+12
-2
+9

INTERPRETATION LEGEND

BASEMENT ANOMALY OUTLINES

ABOVE BASEMENT ANOMALIES

AXES OF MINOR MAGNETIC ANOMALIES

POSSIBLE FAULTING

BASEMENT DEPTH CONTOURS

25..... DEPTH ESTIMATES IN HUNDREDS OF FEET:
SEA LEVEL DATUM. GOOD ESTIMATES UNDERLINED.

HIGH SENSITIVITY AEROMAGNETIC SURVEY

NORTHWEST TERRITORIES


SINCLAIR CANADA OIL COMPANY

1967

1 0 1 2 3 MILES

SCALE : 1 INCH = 1 MILE OR 1 : 63,360

INDEX



SURVEYED, COMPILED AND INTERPRETED BY GEOTERREX LIMITED